

General index

Page

BACKGROUND ISSUES

1

PRESENTATION OF THE PROJECT

JACOPO DELLA TORRE, GIULIA LASAGNI and SÍLVIA PEREIRA PUIGVERT . . . 23

2

THE INNOCENCE MOVEMENT REVOLUTION: HISTORY AND NEW FRONTIERS

PROF. LUCA LUPÁRIA DONATI 27

1. **Introduction** 27
2. **The DNA revolution and the birth of Innocence Project** 28
3. **Tip of the iceberg and non-DNA exonerations** 31
4. **The international dimension** 33
5. **Looking forward** 36

3

INVESTIGATING THE CAUSES OF WRONGFUL CONVICTION: A CRITICAL APPROACH

GIULIA LASAGNI 39

1. **Investigating the Causes of Wrongful Conviction: A Critical Approach** 39

	<u>Page</u>
2. Defining the Subject Matter: What does ‘Miscarriage of Justice’ mean?	41
3. Main Causes. Eyewitness Misidentification	44
3.1. <i>False Confession</i>	46
3.2. <i>Mishandling of Forensic Evidence</i>	50
3.3. <i>Mishandling of Informants</i>	54
3.4. <i>(Mis)-Behaviour of the Parties: Inadequate Defence and Official Misconduct</i>	55
3.5. <i>Mass Data Collection and the Deployment of Automated Technology</i>	57
3.6. <i>Misinterpretation of Wiretapping Recordings</i>	58
4. Cross-cutting Factors	60
5. Conclusion	62

EUROPEAN NATIONAL PERSPECTIVE

4

RIGHTING WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS IN ENGLAND AND WALES

LUKE MARSH	67
1. Introduction	67
2. Causes of Miscarriages of Justice in England and Wales	70
3. Legal Routes to Exoneration	76
3.1. <i>Appealing to the Court of Appeal (Criminal Division)</i>	76
3.2. <i>Post-Appeal: Challenging Convictions via the CCRC</i>	77
4. The Scale of Injustice	79
5. Barriers to Justice within the Court of Appeal and CCRC	81
5.1. <i>Court of Appeal</i>	81
5.2. <i>CCRC</i>	83
5.3. <i>Case Study of System Failure: Andrew Malkinson</i>	85

	<i>Page</i>
6. Conclusion: Lessons Unlearnt?	89
7. Bibliography	92

5

REVISION AND RE-EXAMINATION IN CRIMINAL MATTERS IN FRANCE: AN ANALYSIS OF LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND CHALLENGES

MAXIME LASSALLE and CAROLINE PELOSO	97
1. Introduction	98
2. Revision	100
2.1. <i>The 2014 reform</i>	100
2.1.1. The goal of the reform	100
2.1.2. Revision Process	102
2.1.2.1. Grounds for Revision	102
2.1.2.2. Who Can Request a revision?	103
2.1.2.3. The Role of the Court of revision	103
2.1.2.4. Admissibility and Investigation	104
2.1.2.5. Review by the Court	104
2.1.2.6. The Court's Decision	105
2.1.2.7. Consequences of Annulment	105
2.1.2.8. Requests for Acts	105
2.1.2.9. Final Reparations	106
2.1.3. The specific case of access to evidence	106
2.1.4. Remaining Issues and Critiques	107
3. The Specific Role of the 2021 Reform: The Mis and Thiennot Case	107
4. Re-examination process following a decision by the European Court of Human Rights in the French experience	108
4.1. <i>The introduction of the re-examination procedure following the suggestions of supranational law</i>	108

	<u>Page</u>
4.1.1. Consequences of the European Judgment for the individual plaintiff	108
4.1.2. The Council of Europe's promotion of the introduction into domestic law of a procedure for the re-examination of national decisions following a ruling by the European Court of Human Rights	110
4.2. <i>Adoption of a re-examination procedure in French criminal procedure</i>	111
4.3. <i>Reform of the review and re-examination procedure in 2014</i> . . .	112
4.3.1. Rationale of the reform	112
4.3.2. Grounds for re-examination in French criminal procedure	113
4.3.3. Re-examination process	116
4.3.3.1. Content of the conditions governing the grounds for the request for re-examination	117
4.3.3.2. Proceedings before the panel of judges . . .	119
4.3.3.3. Possible issues of the re-examination procedure	120
5. Conclusion	121

6

REMEDIES OF JUDICIAL ERRORS UNDER GERMAN CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW

BRIAN BUCHHALTER MONTERO	123
1. Introduction	123
2. Remedies in the StPO	125
2.1. <i>Complaint (Beschwerde)</i>	126
2.2. <i>Appeal (Berufung)</i>	127
2.3. <i>Final appeal on points of law (Revision)</i>	127
2.4. <i>Reopening of proceedings (Wiederaufnahme des Verfahrens)</i> . . .	128

	<i>Page</i>
3. Historical development of the state liability for judicial acts .	129
4. Content and context of art. 34 GG	132
4.1. <i>Subjective right and institutional guarantee</i>	133
4.2. <i>Relationship to § 839 BGB</i>	133
5. Sense and scope of § 839.2 BGB	134
5.1. <i>Res judicata and judicial independence</i>	135
5.2. <i>Protected activity</i>	136
5.3. <i>Jurisdictional decisions</i>	136
5.4. <i>Exclusion of non-jurisdictional decisions</i>	137
6. Exclusion of liability for non-use of legal remedies	137
6.1. <i>Requirement of legal remedy</i>	138
6.2. <i>Liability of the lawyer</i>	139
7. Special cases of compensation	139
7.1. <i>Crime investigation measures</i>	139
7.2. <i>Unreasonable time of the procedure</i>	140
8. Conclusions	141
9. References	142
9.1. <i>Bibliography (alphabetical order)</i>	142
9.2. <i>Case law (chronological order)</i>	144

7

REMEDIES FOR WRONGFUL CONVICTION IN ITALY: A SYSTEMIC OVERVIEW

JACOPO DELLA TORRE and MITJA GIALUZ	147
1. Introduction	147
2. Revision as the main mechanism for addressing miscarriages of justice	151
3. The exclusion of “partial revision”	157
4. The scope of revision and the cases of application	158

	<u>Page</u>
4.1. <i>Theoretical conflict between judgments</i>	159
4.2. <i>Revocation of the non-criminal judgment on which the conviction is based</i>	161
4.3. <i>New evidence</i>	162
4.4. <i>Judgment of conviction delivered as a consequence of a crime</i> ..	165
5. Incorporating new scientific evidence in revision proceedings ..	166
6. Procedural profiles: competence, legitimate parties, forms, admissibility and revision proceedings	170
7. Compensation for miscarriage of justice	172
8. The problem of statistic	176

8

MECHANISMS TO RECOGNISE AND COMPENSATE WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS IN POLAND

ARTUR KOWALCZYK	179
1. Introduction	179
2. Causes of wrongful convictions: a short overview of empirical studies	180
3. Post-conviction remedies	182
3.1. <i>Introductory remarks</i>	182
3.2. <i>Appeal in cassation</i>	183
3.3. <i>Reopening of proceedings</i>	186
3.4. <i>Extraordinary complaint</i>	189
4. Compensation for wrongful conviction	191
4.1. <i>Introductory remarks</i>	191
4.2. <i>Compensation for wrongful conviction under the Code of Criminal Procedure (KPK)</i>	192
4.3. <i>Compensation for wrongful conviction under Civil Code (KC)</i> ..	197
5. Concluding remarks	198

EXTRA EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE

9

CAUSES, REMEDIES, AND DATA ON JUDICIAL ERROR IN SOUTH AMERICA, WITH EMPHASIS ON THE URUGUAYAN JUSTICE SYSTEM

IGNACIO M. SOBA BRACESCO	203
1. Introduction	203
2. Causes, remedies, and data on judicial error in South America. Comparative situation, common challenges, regulation, and practices	205
2.1. <i>Argentina - Manuel Garrido</i>	206
2.2. <i>Chile - Sebastián Bravo Ibarra</i>	209
2.3. <i>Colombia - Mónica María Bustamante Rúa and Valentina Botero Botero</i>	210
2.4. <i>Ecuador - Sergio Cando Shevchukova</i>	215
2.5. <i>Paraguay - Ricardo Preda Del Puerto</i>	219
2.6. <i>Perú - Omar Hernández</i>	221
3. The judicial error in the Uruguayan criminal justice system ..	223
3.1. <i>Independence and impartiality of judges in the Uruguayan system as examples of institutional strength and solidity</i>	223
3.2. <i>Cognitive aspects in Uruguay's criminal justice system</i>	227
3.3. <i>Legal remedies for controlling wrongful convictions: Appeals, Cassation, and Review Processes ("recurso de revisión") in the Uruguayan Criminal System</i>	229
3.4. <i>Civil liability in cases of wrongful convictions and pretrial detention of acquitted individuals in Uruguay"</i>	238
4. Remedies for judicial error and some challenges in South America. Final observations	240
5. References	243

10

**CAUSES AND REMEDIES FOR WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS
IN ARGENTINA**

MANUEL GARRIDO	245
1. Introduction	245
2. Contributing Factors to Wrongful Convictions in Argentina ..	247
2.1. <i>Fabricated cases</i>	247
2.2. <i>Forensic Science</i>	250
2.3. <i>Lack of education and training of investigators, prosecutors and judges. The way the experts present their conclusions.</i>	252
2.4. <i>Inadequate Defense</i>	254
2.5. <i>Lack of adequate resources</i>	255
2.6. <i>Lack of Contradiction and Excessive Formalism</i>	255
2.7. <i>Torture</i>	256
2.8. <i>Evidentiary standards and reasoning of the convictions</i>	256
2.9. <i>Tunnel vision and biases</i>	257
2.10. <i>Errors in identification</i>	257
3. Some safeguards to prevent wrongful convictions	257
4. Main challenges to reverse a conviction	259
5. Additional challenges	263
6. Conclusion	263
7. Bibliography	264

11

**CAUSES AND REMEDIES FOR WRONGFUL CONVICTION: A
BRAZILIAN PERSPECTIVE**

LARISSA CLARE POCHMANN DA SILVA	267
1. Introduction	268
2. Criminal cases and incarceration: a data-driven look at wrongful conviction	268

16

	<i>Page</i>
3. Causes of wrongful conviction	271
4. The correction of wrongful convictions	276
5. Compensation for wrongful convictions	279
6. Conclusion	280
7. Bibliography	281

12

**THE CORRECTION OF WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS IN CHILE:
THE NEED FOR A NEW EQUILIBRIUM**

MAURICIO DUCE J.	285
1. Introduction	286
2. The post-conviction review mechanism in Chile: problematic aspects of its legal design	288
2.1. <i>Impossibility to use the revision in misdemeanors</i>	291
2.2. <i>Formal and evidentiary requirements for its admissibility</i>	292
2.3. <i>Restricted hypotheses or grounds for the revision</i>	294
3. Revision in Chile: problems in its use in practice	297
3.1. <i>Strict practice of admissibility of revisions</i>	297
3.2. <i>Extremely strict interpretation of the review hypotheses (especially that of art. 473.d).</i>	299
3.3. <i>Other barriers and obstacles</i>	305
4. The need to seek a new equilibrium	307
4.1. <i>Interpretative reconstruction of the mechanism</i>	307
4.2. <i>Legal reform of the revision grounds, their procedures and formal requirements</i>	308
4.3. <i>Reforms to facilitate access to the mechanism</i>	310
5. Concluding thoughts	313
6. References	314

13

MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE IN CHINA: CURRENT SITUATION, REASONS AND REMEDIES

XIN FU	319
1. Introduction	320
2. Overview of the Miscarriage of Justice in China’s Criminal Justice	321
3. Analysis of Miscarriage of Justice Cases in China	323
3.1. <i>Evidentiary perspective</i>	323
3.2. <i>Impact of the political factors</i>	330
3.3. <i>Defects of the criminal justice system in China</i>	331
4. Remedies for Preventing Miscarriage of Justice Cases in China	332
4.1. <i>The Criminal Procedure of Second Instance</i>	332
4.2. <i>The Trial Supervision Procedure</i>	335
4.3. <i>Complaint Letters, Calls and Visits system</i>	338
5. Remedies for the Innocent Defendant of Wrongly Convicted Cases	339
6. Reflections on the Improvement of the Criminal Justice System to Prevent Miscarriage of Justice in China	344
7. Conclusion	349
8. References	349

14

COMPENSATING EXONEREEES IN THE UNITED STATES

BRANDON L. GARRETT and LUKE MEARS [†]	355
1. Introduction	355
2. Civil Rights Litigation	357
3. Compensation Statutes	360
4. Conclusion	364

18

CROSS-BORDER AND INTERNATIONAL DIMENSION

15

EUROPEAN REGISTRY OF EXONERATIONS: BROADER INSIGHTS INTO WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS ACROSS EUROPE

JENNIFER M. SCHELL-LEUGERS, TERESA SCHNEIDER and LINDA M. GEVEN .	385
1. Introduction	385
2. The European Registry of Exonerations: Current findings and contributing factors	389
2.1. <i>False or misleading forensic evidence</i>	391
2.2. <i>Flawed eyewitness identification (procedures)</i>	393
2.3. <i>False confessions</i>	394
2.4. <i>Perjury or false accusations</i>	396
2.5. <i>Official Misconduct</i>	398
3. The striking case of Angelo Massaro in Italy	399
4. Conclusion	402
5. References	402

16

RES JUDICATA, BETWEEN MYTHS AND MODERNITY

ELVIRA NADIA LA ROCCA	411
1. History, myth...	411
2. The reopening of res judicata in contrast to the ECHR	414
3. The 'pilot judgments' of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) and the limits of the fall of res judicata	420
4. Reopening of the judgment vs. protection of the victim?	424
5. The Current Face of Criminal Judgments	425

	<u>Page</u>
17	
MODELS OF THE CRIMINAL CASES REVIEW COMMISSIONS: A GENERAL OVERVIEW	
ALESSANDRO MALACARNE	429
1. Introduction	429
2. The original framework of the “Criminal Cases Review Commission”	434
3. Post-ECCRC approaches	438
3.1. <i>The “hard model”</i>	440
3.1.1. The (unsolvable?) problem of the “referral standard”	443
3.2. <i>The “weak” or “attenuated” model</i>	446
4. Future developments of the CCRC	448
5. Towards a CCRC also in the “jurisdictional models”	451
6. Concluding remarks	457